## BEFORE THE NATIONAL GREEN TRIBUNAL, PRINCIPAL BENCH, NEW DELHI

Original Application No. 200/2014 (M.A. No. 94 of 2016) (C.W.P. No. 3727/1985)

<u>And</u>

Original Application No. 501 of 2014 (M.A. No. 404 of 2015)

And

Original Application No. 146 of 2015

## **IN THE MATTER OF:**

M.C. MEHTA VS. UNION OF INDIA & Ors.

And

Anil Kumar Singhal Vs. Union of India & Ors.

And

Society for Protection of Environment & Biodiversity & Anr.

Vs.

Union of India & Ors.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SWATANTER KUMAR, CHAIRPERSON

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DR. JAWAD RAHIM, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SONAM PHINTSO WANGDI, JUDICIAL MEMBER

HON'BLE DR. D.K. AGRAWAL, EXPERT MEMBER

HON'BLE MR. BIKRAM SINGH SAJWAN, EXPERT MEMBER

Present Applicant:

Ms. Kalyayni, Adv.

Mr. Gaurav Kr. Bansal, Adv. in OA No. 501 of

2014

Respondent No. 1:

Ms. Panchajanya Batra Singh, Adv. for MoEF & CC

Ms. Yogmaya Agnihotra, Adv. for CECB

Mr. Priyanka Sinha and Ms. Anu Tyagi, Advs. For

State of Jharkhand

Mr. B.V. Niren, Adv. for CGWA

Mr. Rajkumar, Mr. Bhupendra Kumar, LA

Ms. Savitri Pandey and Ms. Azma Parveen, Advs.

Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani, Advs.

Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.

Mr. Anil Grover, AAG and Mr. Rahul Khurana, Adv.,

State of Haryana

Mr. Ardhendumauli Kr. Prasad Ms. Priyanka

Swami and Mr. Jigdal Chankapa, Advs.

Mr. I.K. Kapila, Adv. for Jal Nigam and Jal Board of UP

Ms. Shagun, Adv for WBPCB

Mr. Rudreshwar Singh and Mr. Gautam Singh,

Advs. for State of Bihar and BSPCB

Mr. Jayesh Gauray, Adv. for JSPCB

Mr. U.K. Uniyal, AG, and Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari,

Adv. Uttarakhand

Mr. Sanjeev Ralli, Adv. with Mr. Dinesh Jindal, LO

for GNCTD/DPCC

Mr. Rajul Shrivatav, Adv. for MPPCB

Mr. Abhishek Paruthi, Adv. for CPCB

Ms. Sushma Singh, Adv.

Mr. Rahul Verma, AAG, Uttarakhand for R-12,13 and 22

Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv. for UPCB

M/s R.R. Printing House

M/s America Dyeing and Printers Works

Mr. Motish K. Singh and Mr. Saurabh Sehtendra,

Advs. For Noticee No. 65

Ms. Neelam Rathore, Adv. for Provincial Industries Associations and UP Dyes and Bleaches association

Mr. Anshuman, Adv. for Sitica Prod. Kanpur

Mr. Vijay Hansaria, Sr. Adv. Mr. Amit Anand Tiwari, Mr. Vivek Singh, Mr. Avneesh Pandey and Mr. Abhinav Banjerjee, Advs.

Mr. Atul Batra and Mr. Kundan Kr. Mishra, Advs. For Mother Dairy Pilakhua Unit

Ms. Antima Bazaz and Dr. Yashpal Singh, Adv for AIDA

Mr. M.Z. Choudhary, Mr. S.A. Zaidi for Leather Industries

Mr. Suraj Prakash Singh and Mr. Pushpila Bisht, Advs. For UPSMA

Ms. Asha Nayyar Basu and Mr. Amit Agarwal, Advs.

Ms. Gurveen Dholiwal, Adv. for M/s Chadha papers ltd.

Mr. Ajay Kumar Misra, Sr. Adv., Mr. Kumar Anurag, Mr. Jalika Mukherjeer and Ms. Anuradha K. Mishra, Advs.

Mr. Gir raj Singh (Dy. Manager H.R.)

Mr. Pasupati

Mr. Rashid Saeed, Mr. Anrag Kishor and Mr. Fuzail Atyubi, Advs. For CETP Bathar and CETP Unnad Kanpur

Mr. S. Wasim A. Qadri, Adv.

Mr. Anwar Mohummad and Mr. Mansoor Mohummad, Advs. For Noticees Nos. 33 39

Present: Applicant:

Mr. Ritwick Dutta and Mr. Rahul Choudhary,

Advs.

Respondent No. 1: Mr. Vikas Malhotra and Mr. M.P. Sahay, Advs. Respondent No.2: Mr. Pradeep Misra and Mr. Daleep Dhayani,

Advs.

Respondent No.3: Ms. Savitri Pandey and Ms. Azma Parveen,

Advs.

Respondent No. 4: Mr. Bhupendra Kumar, LA Mr. Rajkumar, Adv.

Respondent No. 5: Mr. Sudhir Kulshrestha and Mr. Sameer

Kulshrestha, Advs.

Respondent No. 6&7: Mr. Raunak Parekh and Mr. Amit Wadhwa,

Advs.

Respondent No. 8: Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv.

Respondent No.10&11: Mr. Ravindra Kumar, Adv.

Mr. Ardhendumauli Kr. Prasad Ms. Priyanka Swami and Mr. Jigdal Chankapa, Advs.

	Date and	Orders of the Tribunal							
	Remarks								
	Item Nos.	Learned counsel appearing for the various							
	01 to 03								
	February	industries, associations and representative bodies							
	amongst other sugar sector, textiles sector, tannery sector,								
	A	paper mills, distillery and slaughter houses and other							
		industries falling in Segment B of phase – I in relation to							
		cleaning of river Ganga pray for time to file the written							
		submission interalia primarily on the following:-							

- 1. Attainment of Zero Liquid Discharge.
- 2. Installation of online monitoring systems
- 3. Their present status and the steps they have taken or are willing to take for eradicating industrial pollution resulting from carrying on all these industries.
- 4. The industry which are operating without consent of the Board or are in violation to the terms and conditions to the consent, if granted, and are having no primary treatment plants and if installed are not using the same in accordance with the prescribed norms and why such should not be directed to be closed down.

Let written submissions be filed within three weeks from today as prayed. We make it clear that we will not grant any further time to any of the industry or association. If the written submissions are not filed they would be deemed to waive their right to file the written submissions.

The copy of the same should be furnished to all the Learned counsel appearing for the parties. Liberty is granted to them to file the objections before the next date of hearing.

Learned counsel appearing for the Uttar Pradesh Pollution Control Board submits that he would file the list of the grossly and seriously polluting industries. Let the same be filed during the course of the day and thus the said list would be put on the website of the Uttar Pradesh

Pollution Control Board and the industries may obtain the copy thereof.

There are nearly 1070 seriously polluting industries which include 746 grossly polluting industries. All these industries are represented before the Tribunal through the associations or even individually. We require Pollution Control Board to issue a public notice. Learned counsel appearing for the U.P. Pollution Control Board submits that they have already issued public notice as well as individual notice to all the Noticees which are falling in Segment B of Phase – I either river Ganga or any of its tributaries. Thus such industries and association would be deemed to be served in accordance with law.

We direct MoEF to put on the website the criteria for determination of grossly and seriously polluting industries within one week from today, if not already put.

The industries/associations in their submission should also give the particulars of parameters of their effluent at the inlet of the ETP with respect to water quality parameters of bathing quality water.

Some of the association/industries claimed that representations were made to CPCB, UP Pollution Control Board, Uttarakhand Pollution Control Board. Let those representations be commented by the all the above Pollution Control Boards and such comments will be placed on record before the Tribunal. Copies of the representations be also provided to all three Pollution Control Boards.

	List the	ese matters	for	hearing	on 08th	and	09 <sup>th</sup>
	March, 2016.						
	List M.A	A. No. 94 of	2016	on 24 <sup>th</sup> F	Pebruary,	2016	
			 (Sw <i>a</i>	tanter Kı		•••••	,СР
			 (Dr.	Jawad Ra	ahim)	•••••	.,JM
			 (Son	am Phint	so Wang		.,JM
2			 (Dr.	D. K <mark>.</mark> Agr	awal)		.,EM
A	$\Lambda$		(B.S	. Sajwan)		<u></u>	.,EM